

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,569	10/30/2003	Robert Donald Villwock	1001-002-CIP 1587		
7590 10/21/2005			EXAMINER		
Kristin C. Cas	tle	YOON, TAE H			
Suite 100		ADTIBUT	DARED MENADED		
11231 Gold Exp	press Drive	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Sacramento, C.	A 95670	1714			
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/ -
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
		10/699,569		VILLWOCK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Tae H. Yoon		1714	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINED FOR REPLINED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINED FOR REPLINED FOR REPLINED FOR STATE OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILINE DEPLINED FOR THE MAILINE STATE OF TH	ATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, will apply and will end, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be timexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·				
2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J. This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non nce except fo	r formal matters, pro		erits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from cons or election req er.	uirement.		
_	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <u></u> / a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been of ts have been of ority document ou (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati is have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892)  e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  'No(s)/Mail Date		)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da )  Notice of Informal P )  Other:		2)

Art Unit: 1714

Note new examiner.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-31 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of copending Application No. 10/699,590.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The above rejection is maintained since the terminal disclaimer submitted on July 29, 2005 is improper since a fee was not paid.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Boutle et al (US 4,157,424).

Boutle et al teach a method of impregnating felt with a solution of polyurethane in DMF in example 12. Calendering (col. 12, line 20-21) meets the instant pressurized step. Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Claims 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boutle et al (US 4,157,424).

The instant invention further recites heating the mixture and a filtration thereafter over Boutle et al. However, heating a mixture of a solid and a solvent in order to expedite dissolution of a solid such as a polymer is a basic chemistry. Also, a recycled polymer such as a polyurethane contains various fillers, and thus a filtration step would be an obvious step.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to heat the mixture of polyurethane and DMF and to filter it thereafter in Boutle et al as the reason given above.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,569

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 6-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boutle et al (US 4,157,424) in view of Dieterich (US 3,939,222).

The instant invention further recites a separation of polyurethane particles from the solution over Boutle et al. Dieterich teaches various methods (freeze-drying, spraydrying or precipitation (sediments)) of obtaining polyurethane particles at col. 3, lines 25-46. Dieterich also teaches the advantage of using powder coating over a solution coating at col. 1.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to obtain polyurethane particles from the solution of Boutle et al with teaching of Dieterich as the reason given above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

THY/October 17, 2005